

NOTICE OF MEETING

RODNEY DISTRICT COUNCIL

TO: Penny Webster - Mayor (Chairperson)

Crs Ross Craig
Pat Delich
Michael Goudie
Gaye Harding
John Kirikiri
Dave Parker QSM
Grahame Powell
Zane Taylor
June Turner
Grev Walker
Wayne Walker
Suzanne Weld

The Rodney District Council will meet in the Council Chamber, Centreway Road, Orewa on Thursday, 4 March 2010, commencing at 9.00 a.m.

for: CHIEF EXECUTIVE
Rodger Kerr-Newell

OREWA
25 February 2010

* * * * *

PROGRAMME

<u>Time</u>		<u>Page</u>
11.00 a.m.	Rodney Properties Limited – Half Yearly Report to 31 December 2009	31

INDEX

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	Apologies	1
2.	Confirmation of Minutes	1
3.	Significant Contracts Let over the Period 1 December 2009 – 12 February 2010	5
4.	Quarterly Update (Exception) Report – Six Months to 31 December 2009	9
5.	Application for Remission of Rates by the Arapohue Bush Camp Trust	11
6.	Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with Harbour Sport	13
7.	Financial Delegations – Infrastructure Directorate	29
8.	Rodney Properties Limited – Half Yearly Report to 31 December 2009	31
9.	Walking and Cycling Model Communities – NZTA Funding Application	39
10.	Classification – Metro Park West	51
11.	General Bylaw 1998: Chapter 16 – Liquor Bans – Review of Liquor Ban Times at Helensville and Parakai	53
12.	Confirmation of Membership – Puhoi Pioneer Memorial Park Advisory Committee	55
13.	Request for Leave of Absence – Cr Taylor	57
14.	Public Excluded	59

CONFIDENTIAL

15.	Debt Write off	61
16.	Proposed Variation 129 and Proposed Plan Change 112 to the Rodney District Plan	63
17.	Road Stopping	69

* * * * *

ITEM NO: 1

APOLOGIES

ITEM NO: 2

REPORT



TO Council
ON 4 March 2010
SUBJECT **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

- Notes:
1. Minutes for Council and Committee meetings are distributed through the month as they are published and are available for public inspection at Council offices and libraries.
 2. The purpose of the item is confirmation of correctness of the minutes only. Any recommendations to the Council from the Committees are shown as separate items in the next section of the agenda.
 3. Minutes produced after the publication of this agenda but prior to the meeting will be tabled as a supplementary item at the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

	Page Number
(a) <u>Strategy and Community Committee – 1 and 9 December 2009</u>	
That the minutes of a meeting of the Strategy and Community Committee held on 1 and 9 December 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted.	020309-020323 (Buff)
(b) <u>Strategy and Community Committee – 3 and 9 December 2009</u>	
That the minutes of a meeting of the Strategy and Community Committee held on 3 and 9 December 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted.	020324-020437 (Buff)
(c) <u>District Plan and Regulatory Committee – 16 December 2009</u>	
That the minutes of a meeting of the District Plan and Regulatory Committee held on 16 December 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted.	020438-020446 (White)
(d) <u>Resource Consents Hearings Panel – 22 June 2009</u>	
That the minutes of a meeting of the Resource Consents Hearings Panel held on 22 June 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted.	020447-020463 (White)

- (e) **Council – 17 December 2009**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Council held on 17 December 2009, as circulated, be received and confirmed. 020464-020705
(Blue)
- (f) **Resource Consents Hearings Panel – 30 October 2009**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Resource Consents Hearings Panel held on 30 October 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020706-020730
(White)
- (g) **Resource Consents Hearings Panel – 23 November 2009**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Resource Consents Hearings Panel held on 23 November 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020731-02759
(White)
- (h) **District Plan and Regulatory Committee – 3 February 2010**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the District Plan and Regulatory Committee held on 3 February 2010, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020760-020764
(White)
- (i) **Extraordinary Council – 4 February 2010**
- That the minutes of an Extraordinary Meeting of the Council held on 4 February 2010, as circulated, be received and confirmed. 020765-020767
(Blue)
- (j) **Infrastructure and Environment Committee – 4 February 2010**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Infrastructure and Environment Committee held on 4 February 2010, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020768-020772
(Pink)
- (k) **Audit Committee – 9 February 2010**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Audit Committee held on 9 February 2010, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020773-020777
(Green)
- (l) **Independent Commissioner Hearing (Resource Consents) – 7, 8, 9 and 15 December 2009**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Independent Commissioner Hearing (Resource Consents) held on 7, 8, 9 and 15 December 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020778-020842
(White)
- (m) **Independent Commissioner Hearing (Resource Consents) – 18 December 2009**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Independent Commissioner Hearing (Resource Consents) held on 18 December 2009, as circulated, be received and adopted. 020843-020866
(White)

- (n) **Strategy and Community Committee – 18 February 2010**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Strategy and Community Committee held on 18 February 2010, as circulated, be received and adopted.
- 020879-020886
(Buff)
- (o) **Extraordinary Council – 24 February 2010**
- That the minutes of an Extraordinary Meeting of the Council held on 24 February 2010, as circulated, be received and confirmed.
- 020911-020912
(Blue)
- (p) **Resource Consents Hearings Panel – 12 February 2010**
- That the minutes of a meeting of the Resource Consents Hearings Panel held on 12 February 2010, as circulated, be received and adopted.
- 020913-020922
(White)

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Kevin Ramsay – Director: Corporate Services
 APPROVED BY Rodger Kerr-Newell – Chief Executive
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **SIGNIFICANT CONTRACTS LET OVER THE PERIOD 1 DECEMBER 2009 – 12 FEBRUARY 2010**
 FILE REF CE/1/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Not applicable
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transitional Authority approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

The Council has resolved that:

A list of all significant contracts is to be tabled at the next Council meeting.

Attached as **Appendix 1** is a list of all significant contracts let over the period 1 December 2009 – 12 February 2010.

Note: *Councillors should raise any queries they may have with the relevant director prior to the day of the meeting so that these may be responded to on the day.*

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

APPENDIX 1

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Morna Macfarlane – Manager: Finance
 APPROVED BY Kevin Ramsay - Director: Corporate Services
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT QUARTERLY UPDATE (EXCEPTION) REPORT – SIX MONTHS TO 31 DECEMBER 2009
 FILE REF AB/14/2

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan/Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? No
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	No
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	No
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

Copies of the Quarterly Update (Exception) Report, covering the six months ending 31 December 2009, have been circulated with councillors' copies of the agenda. The report will also be made available for public inspection with the agenda at the Council's Orewa Office, the Helensville Service Centre, the Whangaparaoa Service Centre and Library, and the Warkworth, Wellsford and Kumeu libraries.

The purpose of the report is to provide an update on current progress of:

- projects over \$50,000 with year to date (YTD) spend of 75% or less of YTD revised budget; and
- projects with an overspend of \$20,000 or more (compared to YTD revised budget).

This report combines both financial results/reports and also a progress update on key projects. Additionally, capital expenditure projects which fall within the exception criteria outlined above have an explanation included.

A short presentation on the Quarterly Report, which is for information only, will be made at the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the quarterly update report for the six months to 31 December 2009 be received.

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM David Low – Manager: Revenue
 APPROVED BY Kevin Ramsay – Director: Corporate Services
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT APPLICATION FOR REMISSION OF RATES BY THE ARAPOHUE BUSH CAMP TRUST
 FILE REF RB/1/1; RB/9/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? Nil
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? Nil
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? Nil
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? No
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Nil
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Nil
Is it currently budgeted for?	No
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Minor

SUMMARY:

An application for a rate remission has been received from the Arapohue Bush Camp Trust for its property at 1088 State Highway 1, Pohuehue. The Trust is a charitable community organisation which provides an alternative educational programme for children aged 7 – 11 who are struggling to achieve literacy in the conventional school environment. It provides a phonics based literacy programme and bush survival training in an adventure farm stay environment.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (a) **That the Council approve a 50% remission of the 2009/2010 rates of \$1675.54, being \$837.77, for the Arapohue Bush Camp Trust property situated at 1088 State Highway 1, Pohuehue.**
- (b) **That the property be included on future annual remission schedules.**

The objective of the Council's remission policy for...*organisations which by their existence in the district directly benefit the residents of the district...is...to encourage certain sport, recreation and welfare organisations in the district which directly benefit the residents of the district.*

Clause 1 of the policy states that...**applicant organisations must benefit residents of the district.**

Clause 5 of the policy states that the organisations must be those...**whose principal objective is to promote education and health...and that...subject to clause 1, organisations whose principal objective is to promote education or health, the members of such organisations deriving no pecuniary gain, be granted 100% remission of all rates, - with the exception of water and sewerage charges.**

As the Arapohue Bush Camp Trust does not limit access to its programme to children from within the district, the Council may wish to consider granting a remission in line with the 50% remission the New Zealand Sunday School Union Incorporated (Peter Snell Camp) receives.

Rates on the property for the 2009/10 year total \$1,675.54 (there are no water or sewerage charges) and a 50% remission would total \$837.77.

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Beverly Fletcher – Strategic Partnering Manager
 APPROVED BY Lloyd Barton – Director: Customer Service
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MoU) WITH HARBOUR SPORT
 FILE REF RH/7/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? This relationship will help achieve Vision Rodney.
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? Supports the Activity <i>Civic Leadership</i> in the LTCCP.
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? Fits within the framework of the Partnering Policy.
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? The views of the Council and Harbour Sport influenced the development of this MOU and both parties will be involved with its implementation.

(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?)
	No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	None
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Ongoing implications will be addressed through community grants programme
Is it currently budgeted for?	Yes
Funding and rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Nil

SUMMARY:

Vision Rodney indicates the importance and value of the Council developing strategic and operational relationships with agencies working with Rodney communities in order to achieve Vision Rodney's outcome and objectives.

The Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the Ministry of Education attached as **Appendix 1** is a tool to formalise an existing relationship and to specify areas of collaborative action.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (a) That the report be received.
- (b) That the Memorandum of Understanding between the Council and Harbour Sport be signed on behalf of the Council by the Mayor and the Chief Executive.
- (c) That the objectives of the Memorandum form part of the Council's monitoring and reporting against Vision Rodney community outcomes.

1.0 Background

1.1 The Local Government Act 2002

Under the principles of the new Local Government Act 2002, local authorities are required to work in closer partnership with other stakeholders and partners to achieve the outcomes their communities identify. The intent is to align strategic planning and local delivery to the benefit of the Rodney community.

The Act says:

In performing its role, a local authority must act in accordance with the following principles:

- b) a local authority should make itself aware of, and should have regard to, the views of all of its communities; and*
- e) a local authority should collaborate and co-operate with other local authorities and bodies as it considers appropriate to promote or achieve its priorities and desired outcomes, and make efficient use of resources...*

When facilitating the identification of community outcomes ... the local authorities must...:

- a) identify, so far as is practicable, other organisations or groups capable of influencing either the identification or the promotion of community outcomes; and*
- b) secure, if practicable, the agreement of those organisations and groups to the process and to the relationship of the process to any existing and related plans.*

Local Government Act 2002 Part 6, Section 91(3)

The Act also requires local authorities to monitor and report against community outcomes, indicating that to achieve this they should:

seek to secure the agreement of organisations and groups...to the monitoring and reporting procedures, including the incorporation or any research, monitoring, or reporting undertaken by those organisations and groups.

Local Government Act 2002 Part 6, Section 92(2)

1.2 Relationship with Harbour Sport

The Council and Harbour Sport have been working together for some years without a formalised relationship, with the Council providing annual funding to Harbour Sport without a formal agreement on what was to be achieved for the community from this funding. This MoU provides a framework for the funding being provided in this financial year.

2.0 The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

The MoU documents the commitment of the Council and Harbour Sport to working together to help achieve the outcomes identified in Vision Rodney and the Ministry's Statement of Intent.

The MoU formalises a positive collaborative relationship and aims to focus the Council's desire to:

- (a) Work collaboratively to achieve agreed outcomes identified in Vision Rodney.
- (b) Align the strategic and business planning outcomes for each organisation.
- (c) Provide a platform from which service providers and others can work effectively and efficiently to meet local needs and priorities.
- (d) Recognise that each organisation has its own purpose, focus, priorities and reporting processes and to identify those areas where collaboration will be most effective.
- (e) Combine the skills of people in each organisation in order to develop new ways of working.

3.0 Implementation

The MoU is for three years; however, there is no commitment to continued funding for each of those three years. Council officers are exploring the feasibility of funding after the 2009/2010 year through a contestable recreation grant.

Monitoring of the MOU will form part of the Council's community outcomes monitoring framework as required by the Local Government Act 2009.

4.0 Conclusion

Rodney District Council has been proactive in developing relationships with agencies and organisations to assist in achieving community outcomes. This helps to ensure that the delivery of services from these organisations does not overlap with the work of the Council and is targeted to the needs of Rodney District's communities.

APPENDIX 1

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Dukessa Blackburn-Huettner – Group Manager: Environmental Services
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT FINANCIAL DELEGATIONS – INFRASTRUCTURE DIRECTORATE
 FILE REF CG/7/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council’s Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Not applicable
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) Not applicable

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

The following addition is required to current delegations in the Infrastructure Directorate to include the Energy Management and Environmental Officer and provide the incumbent of the position with a purchasing limit of \$20,000.

This proposed delegation is in line with other delegations for roles at this level.

RECOMMENDATION:

That, pursuant to the provisions of the Public Bodies Contract Act 1959, the Local Government Act 2002, all other relevant powers and such additional conditions and restrictions as the Chief Executive Officer shall see fit to impose, the financial delegations for the Infrastructure Directorate be updated as follows:

NEW DELEGATION

That the Energy Management and Environmental Officer be empowered to enter into contracts in relation to the duties of the position, up to a limit of \$20,000 for any one contract.

11.00 A.M.

ITEM NO: 8

REPORT



TO Council
ON 4 March 2010
FROM Paul White – Manager: Property Capital Works and Special Projects
APPROVED BY Dukessa Blackburn-Huettner – Acting Director: Infrastructure
SIGNATURE

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to be "Dukessa Blackburn-Huettner".

SUBJECT **RODNEY PROPERTIES LIMITED – HALF-YEARLY REPORT TO 31 DECEMBER 2009**
FILE REF PK/1/1

Note: Some of the Directors of Rodney Properties Limited (RPL) will be present for this item.

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? Yes, the objectives of the LTCCP and Annual Plan relating to property sales are being implemented by RPL.
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Yes. The RPL Annual Report is required to be publicly released.

(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?)
	No, not for the decision recommended in this report. ATA has been kept progressively informed of all proposed transactions.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	RPL operational costs met from the proceeds of sale.
Is it currently budgeted for?	Yes, via off-sets against proceeds of sale.
Funding and rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Nett sale income applied to the reduction of debt.

SUMMARY:

This report enables the presentation of the Rodney Properties Ltd Half-yearly Report as required by the Constitution and the Statement of Intent.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (a) That the Rodney Properties Ltd – Half-yearly Report be received.
- (b) That the Rodney Properties Ltd Half-yearly Report be made publicly available and posted on the Council's website.

1.0 Background

Rodney Properties Ltd (RPL) was incorporated on 23 February 2009.

The main purposes of this report are to:

- (a) Keep the Council, as 100% shareholder of the company, informed about progress.
- (b) Satisfy the statutory obligations required of the company and the Council as shareholder.
- (c) Satisfy the obligations arising from the Statement of Intent (Sol) as it describes the information required to be provided.

2.0 Issues

2.1 Obligations

RPL is required by the Sol to report on the following range of operational issues:

- *summarised financial statements, including a comparison between actual financial year-to-date revenue and expenditure and budgeted financial year-to-date revenue and expenditure;*
- *market conditions;*
- *the progress of existing key projects;*
- *proposed key projects;*
- *forward planning issues; and*
- *staff.*

Reference [Clause 8.2(c) of Sol]

2.2 RPL Report

The RPL Report is attached as **Appendix 1**. One of the RPL Directors will be available to present the report and answer any questions.

3.0 **Options**

There are no options to consider. Reporting is a statutory obligation.

4.0 **Conclusion**

The RPL Report should be considered and received.

APPENDIX 1

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Gavin Flynn – Project Manager – Western Sector
 APPROVED BY Dukessa Blackburn-Huettner - Acting Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **WALKING AND CYCLING MODEL COMMUNITIES – NZTA FUNDING APPLICATION**
 FILE REF TP/9/27

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council’s Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? Safe and Healthy- We will continue to have a sense of community and we will provide for the emotional and physical well-being of our people. Connected – We will have the infrastructure and services needed for our residents, business and visitors. Distinctive – We will maintain and enhance the character of our townships and rural areas through excellent urban and rural planning and the intelligent management of growth.
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? Involves the transferring of \$339,000 from year 4 of the LTCCP to year 2.
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? Rodney District Walking and Cycling Strategy, Riverhead Structure Plan.
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? Transfer only.

(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required?
	Yes, through the LTCCP process. Further consultation will be needed if the project goes ahead.
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?)
	Yes, ATA approval will be sought.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	\$807,000, of which \$339,000 would be the local share and the balance would be NZTA subsidy, subject to NZTA approval.
Is it currently budgeted for?	Yes - the current provision is for year 2012/13, which would be advanced to 2010/11.
Funding source of capital costs	Loans
Ongoing operational cost implications	Would be covered under the Transportation Renewals and Maintenance budget.
Is it currently budgeted for?	Yes
Funding and rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Will have an impact on year 2011/12 of the LTCCP as the proposal involves creation of new infrastructure costing \$807,000.

SUMMARY:

New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) has invited expressions of interest for developing 'Walking and Cycling Model Communities' to be implemented within the 2009/12 period.

An expression of interest for a Walking and Cycling Model Community at Riverhead was submitted on 22 February 2010, the extended deadline for submissions. The proposal involves upgrading the existing footpath along the Coatesville Riverhead Highway in Riverhead into a shared walkway/cycleway, together with construction of new shared walkways/cycleways along Great North Road, Kaipara Portage Road, Newton Road and Cobblers Lane. The total estimated cost of the project, including an expected 58% NZTA subsidy, is \$807,000, the local share of which amounts to \$339,000. It is proposed that this \$339,000 (local share) be funded as below:

- (a) Bring forward the LTCCP provision of \$142,000 for Walkway upgrade - Coatesville Riverhead Highway from 2012/13 to 2010/11.
- (b) Bring forward the LTCCP provision of \$197,000 for Kaipara Portage Road Walkway Upgrade from 2012/13 to 2010/11.

If approved, the total amount of local share brought forward to 2010/11 would be \$339,000 which, together with the expected NZTA subsidy, will bring the total provision to \$807,000.

Copies of the following are attached:

- (a) Expression of interest for 'Walking & Cycling Model Community at Riverhead' submitted to NZTA (**Appendix 1**).
- (b) Letter of support from Riverhead Residents' and Ratepayers' Association (**Appendix 2**).

As this is a late addition to the Council's annual plan process, the matter will also be discussed at the councillor's workshop on 2 March 2010.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (a) That the report be received.
- (b) That the Council approve the expression of interest application for a Walking and Cycling Model Community at Riverhead.
- (c) That if the application noted in paragraph (b) above is approved, the Council then carry on to the second stage of submitting a more detailed, best practice and evidence based proposal.
- (d) That the Long Term Council Community Plan provisions totalling \$339,000 in year 4 for a Walkway upgrade - Coatesville Riverhead Highway (\$142,000) and Kaipara Portage Road Walkway Upgrade (\$197,000) be transferred to year 2 of the budget.

APPENDIX 1

APPENDIX 2

ITEM NO: 10

REPORT



TO Council
ON 4 March 2010
SUBJECT **CLASSIFICATION - METRO PARK WEST**
FILE REF TP/9/36/2

The following recommendation is from the Infrastructure and Environment Committee meeting held on 4 February 2010:

That it be recommended to the Council:

- (a) That the land known as Metro Park West, being all that land held in Computer Freehold Register 502666, being Part Lot 1 Deposited Plan 212197, and Sections 2, 4, and 6 Survey Office Plan 416852 be classified as Recreation Reserve pursuant to Section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977.
- (b) That the Group Manager: Property Services be delegated authority to complete all the necessary statutory processes to give effect to resolution (a) above.

REPORT



TO Council

ON 4 March 2010

SUBJECT **GENERAL BYLAW 1998:**

**CHAPTER 16 - LIQUOR BANS – REVIEW OF LIQUOR BAN TIMES AT
HELENSVILLE AND PARAKAI**

FILE REF BC/1/4

The following recommendation is from the Strategy and Community Committee meeting held on 18 February 2010:

That it be recommended to the Council:

- (a) That liquor bans in specified public places in Helensville and Parakai under 5.1 Chapter 16 - Liquor Bans of General Bylaw 1998 (as defined in 9.15 and 9.16: RDC Map Nos; 16752 [m] [1] and 16752 [n] [2]) be amended to be in force from 3 p.m. to 6 a.m. on the following day throughout the year.
- (b) That the extended times set out in (a) above come into force on the day following public notification.

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Paul Garbett – Executive Manager
 APPROVED BY Warren Maclennan – Assistant Chief Executive
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **CONFIRMATION OF MEMBERSHIP – PUHOI PIONEER MEMORIAL PARK ADVISORY COMMITTEE**
 FILE REF RC/59/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? Implements a recommendation of the approved Park Management Plan.
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? No further consultation required – proposed membership comes from a public meeting advertised for electing a Committee.
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	None
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	None
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding and rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

At its meeting on 3 December 2009, the Strategy and Community Committee established a Puhoi Pioneer Memorial Park Advisory Committee and appointed Cr Powell as the Council's representative on it.

A public meeting was held on 10 February 2010 and selected committee members are shown in the recommendation below.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council confirm membership of the Puhoi Pioneer Memorial Park Advisory Committee as:

- **Cr Grahame Powell (Council's representative)**
- **Peter Jones**
- **Gay Murphy**
- **Shelley Hackett**
- **Dan Steele**
- **Dexter Fry**
- **Kevin Cholmondeley-Smith**
- **Russell Green**
- **Steve Hunt.**

REPORT



TO Council
 ON 4 March 2010
 FROM Ellen Barrett – Democracy Services Manager
 APPROVED BY Kevin Ramsay – Director: Corporate Services
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT REQUEST FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE – CR TAYLOR
 FILE REF CF/1/1; CG/2/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Not applicable
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding and rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

Cr Taylor has requested leave of absence for medical reasons for a period of up to eight weeks with effect from 4 March 2010.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Cr Taylor be granted leave of absence from the Council for a period of up to eight weeks from 4 March 2010.

SUBJECT PUBLIC EXCLUDED

Recommendation:

That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

Agenda Item No(s) 15, 16 and 17

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Grounds under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
Debt Write Off	The disclosure of information would not be in the public interest because of the greater need to protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons (section 7(2)(a) Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987).	That the public conduct of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist, under section 6 or section 7 (except section 7(2)(f)(i) of the Act). (section 48(1)(a) Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.)
Proposed Variation 129 and Proposed Plan Change 112	Refer grounds	That the exclusion of the public from the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting is necessary to enable the Local Authority to deliberate in private on its decision or recommendation in any proceedings before it where: (i) a right of appeal lies to any court or tribunal against the final decision of the Local Authority in those proceedings; or (ii) the Local Authority is required, by any enactment, to make a recommendation in respect of the matter that is the subject of those proceedings. (section 48(1)(d) Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.)
Road Stopping	The disclosure of information would not be in the public interest because of the greater need to enable the council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations) (section 7(2)(i) Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987).	That the public conduct of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist, under section 6 or section 7 (except section 7(2)(f)(i) of the Act). (section 48(1)(a) Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.)

CONFIDENTIAL