

NOTICE OF MEETING

INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

TO: The Mayor, Penny Webster
Crs Grahame Powell (Chairperson)
Ross Craig
Pat Delich
Michael Goudie
Gaye Harding
John Kirikiri
Dave Parker QSM
Zane Taylor
June Turner
Greville Walker
Wayne Walker
Suzanne Weld

The Infrastructure and Environment Committee will meet in the Council Chamber, Centreway Road, Orewa on Thursday, 2 September 2010, commencing at 9.00 a.m.

for: CHIEF EXECUTIVE
Rodger Kerr-Newell

OREWA
26 August 2010

* * * * *

INDEX

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1.	Apologies	1
2.	Provision of Wastewater Services to Matakana - Hearing of Objection to Proposed Pipeline - 135 Takatu Road Omaha Flats - Mr and Mrs Rathe	1
3.	20 Link Crescent – Proposed Hibiscus Coast Family Centre	5
4.	Revocation of Sections of State Highway in the District	11
5.	Update on Muriwai Infrastructure Issues	13
6.	Orewa Sea Wall/Walkway Project Update	23
7.	Changes to Cemetery Trustees	37
8.	Progress Report on Implementation of Committee Decisions	41
9.	Public Excluded	53

CONFIDENTIAL

10.	Confidential Progress Report on Implementation of Committee Decisions	55
-----	---	----

* * * * *

ITEM NO: 1

APOLOGIES

ITEM NO: 2

REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
ON 2 September 2010
FROM John Douglas – Manager: Water Services Capital Works
APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
SIGNATURE

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop at the top and a long, horizontal stroke extending to the right.

SUBJECT **PROVISION OF WASTEWATER SERVICES TO MATAKANA
HEARING OF OBJECTION TO PROPOSED PIPELINE
135 TAKATU ROAD OMAHA FLATS
MR AND MRS RATHE**

FILE REF P255; DD/90/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? Yes. Has the potential to delay the planned and budgeted programme to take Matakana wastewater to Omaha Wastewater Treatment Plant.
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No

(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required?
	No
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought)
	No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding and rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

The Council Strategy to address current wastewater treatment issues associated with the Matakana wetland treatment facility and to meet the future wastewater infrastructure needs of Matakana is based on future pressure wastewater collection (PWC) wastewater installations with the wastewater going via a pipeline linking Matakana to the existing Omaha Wastewater Treatment Plant.

There is a relatively narrow range of alignment options for the pipeline, and the proposed pipeline alignment crossing Mr and Mrs Rathe's land is operationally the best option.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (a) That the objection by Mr and Mrs Rathe to the Council installing a wastewater trunk main across Lot 2 DP 312919, 135 Takatu Road, Omaha Flats be heard.
- (b) That the objection to the entry consent at Lot 2 DP 312919, 135 Takatu Road, Omaha Flats not be upheld.

1.0 Background

The preferred and most cost effective alignment option for the proposed 180mm diameter OD wastewater pipeline to link the Matakana Township to the Omaha Wastewater Treatment Plant traverses Lot 2 DP 312919, a property at 135 Takatu Road Omaha Flats, owned by Mr and Mrs Rathe.

In a letter dated 30 July 2010, formal notice was given by the Council of its intention to construct a wastewater rising main in accordance with Section 181 of the Local Government Act 2002, and permission to enter their property was sought from Mr and Mrs Rathe.

In an undated letter received on the 10 August 2010, Mr and Mrs Rathe confirmed their objection to the Council's intention to transport wastewater from Matakana to the Omaha Wastewater Treatment Plant.

The Council has an obligation under the Local Government Act to set up a hearing within one month of receiving an objection. On 10 August 2010 the Council advised Mr and Mrs Rathe that a hearing of their objection would take place before the Infrastructure and Environment Committee at its 2 September Meeting.

2.0 Issues

2.1 The Council's Manager: Water Services Capital Works (John Douglas) will make a presentation to the Committee on why it is necessary for the Council to enter Mr and Mrs Rathe's land to construct the proposed wastewater pipeline. This presentation will also comment on issues raised in Mr and Mrs Rathe's letter of objection.

2.2 Mr and Mrs Rathe will address the Committee regarding their objection.

3.0 Options

If the Committee upholds the objection:

- it will be accepting the view that it has not got the right to take wastewater from Matakana to the Omaha Wastewater Treatment Plant and a new wastewater treatment strategy would therefore need to be developed and consented based on a treatment plant at Matakana;
- a pipeline will not be installed crossing Lot 2 DP 312919. The only other possible alignment for the pipeline has been explored with two adjoining property owners and one of the property owners has indicated that entry consent would not be forthcoming. Furthermore, this alternative alignment is hydraulically less efficient compared with the preferred alignment.

If the Committee does not uphold the objection:

- it will allow the preferred and most cost effective alignment option for the proposed 180mm diameter OD wastewater pipeline linking the Matakana Township to the Omaha Wastewater Treatment Plant to take place.

4.0 Conclusion

It is recommended that the objection to the entry consent not be upheld.

REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
 ON 2 September 2010
 FROM Mark Johannsen – Group Manager: Property Services
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT 20 LINK CRESCENT – PROPOSED HIBISCUS COAST FAMILY CENTRE
 FILE REF PK/39/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To seek the Council's approval to create a separate site and enter into a lease agreement for the proposed Hibiscus Coast Family Centre.

<input type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? No
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

RECOMMENDATION:

That it be recommended to the Council:

- (a) That the Council resolve to terminate negotiations with the Ministry of Education to secure the ownership of part of the property situated on the corner of Whangaparaoa and Stanmore Bay Roads.
- (b) That it be noted that the Council intends to declare those parts of the land (being part of Lot 4 Deposited 174277) shown on the plan attached as Appendix 1 to the agenda report, marked "Family Centre" as a Local Purpose (Community Buildings) Reserve, and the land marked "Walkway" as a Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve pursuant to Section 14 of the Reserves Act 1977 subject to the completion of the Reserves Act public and iwi consultation requirements.
- (c) That the Group Manager: Property Services be delegated authority to complete the creation and legalisation of the Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve, and Local Purpose (Community Buildings) Reserve as generally shown on the scheme plan attached as Appendix 1 to the agenda report, for part of the Council owned property situated at 20 Link Crescent, Whangaparaoa, being part of the land described as Lot 4 Deposited Plan 174277.
- (d) That the Group Manager: Property Services be delegated authority to negotiate and conclude an Agreement to Lease and Deed of Lease with the Hibiscus Coast Family Centre Trust Incorporated for the creation and operation of a family centre facility on the proposed Local Purpose (Community Buildings) Reserve outlined in recommendation (a) above. The lease to be for a term of ten years with two further rights of renewal of five years each, at a commencement rental of \$1 per annum, and all other terms to be generally in accordance with the Council's generic Reserves Lease document.

1.0 Background

The Council in December 2007 considered a proposal to assist with the establishment of a family centre in Whangaparaoa. The Council subsequently resolved as follows:

That prior to the transfer of Lot 4 DP 174277 to Rodney Properties Limited, the interest in part of the site by the Family Centre be recorded as an option in the event that the Ministry of Education land is not able to be secured (695 Whangaparaoa Road)

(Minute1478/12/07)

It was proposed that the Council secure the purchase of the Ministry of Education's land situated near the corner of Whangaparaoa and Stanmore Bay Roads on a cost neutral basis, i.e. that the purchase cost be recoverable from future section sales proceeds.

In the current real estate climate a cost neutral outcome will be difficult or impossible to achieve and as the market recovers the potential purchase price increases, accordingly a cost differential will always exist.

It is now appropriate to advance the Hibiscus Coast Family Centre Trust Incorporated's option to establish and operate a family centre on the Council owned greenfields site situated at 20 Link Road, Whangaparaoa.

The Committee is requested to support officially terminating the Ministry of Education land purchase negotiations and rather raise title and enter an Agreement to Lease for the establishment of the proposed family centre at 20 Link Crescent, Whangaparaoa.

2.0 Issues

2.1 Scheme Plan – 20 Link Crescent

Planning work undertaken by Urbanisplus Limited in March 2010 has determined that 1,693 square metres should be surveyed out of the 20 Link Crescent title area for the family centre proposal. This allows for the construction of a building, paths, open space and under-building car parks.

The marked up aerial photograph attached as **Appendix 1** depicts that area proposed for the family centre comprising approximately 1,700 square metres and the extension of the existing walkway from Elan Place to Link Crescent.

There are currently two streams running through the property that lead eventually through to the stormwater pond situated behind the theatre property adjacent. Advice received from the Council's stormwater engineer concludes that the family centre development will need to provide for an overland flow path. This will dictate where the future building can be located on the property, being possibly towards the front of the site.

2.2 Future reserve status

The appropriate classifications for the lands area as follows:

- Family Centre land – Local Purpose (Community Buildings) Reserve
- Walkway – Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve
- Balance area – retains its current freehold land status.

2.3 Deed of Lease

Whilst the legal work is being completed to create the separate titles for the walkway and family centre, the Council should negotiate and enter a lease agreement for the proposed family centre development. This may be in the form of an Agreement to Lease based on the Council's generic reserve lease document. The Agreement to Lease will include all the conditions that need to be met by the Hibiscus Coast Family Centre Trust Incorporated before a Deed of Lease can be executed. It will deal with funding, planning, and construction matters etc which will need to be satisfied before the Deed of Lease is executed.

The Deed of Lease, whilst negotiated as part of the Agreement to Lease, is proposed to be based on the following main terms:

Term: ten years with two further rights of renewal of five years each
Rental: \$1 per annum
Rent reviews: Based on the Council's generic reserve lease documentation

3.0 Conclusion

It is recommended that the Council resolve to terminate land purchase negotiations with the Ministry of Education and give delegated authority to the Group Manager: Property Services to raise and authorise the raising of separate titles on the Council's 20 Link Crescent, Whangaparaoa property for the proposed family centre and an accessway walkway, plus entering into an Agreement to Lease with the Hibiscus Coast Family Trust Incorporated for the creation and operation of the proposed family centre.

APPENDIX 1

Appendix 1



REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
 ON 2 September 2010
 FROM Bill Horne – Manager: Transport Services Major Projects
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **REVOCATION OF SECTIONS OF STATE HIGHWAY IN THE DISTRICT**
 FILE REF RF/200/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? Nil
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? Nil
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Plan/Annual Plan? Yes
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? Transport Strategy.
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? Yes if the highway is revoked.
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Not applicable
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Any new capital improvements will need to be provided for.
Is it currently budgeted for?	No
Funding source of capital costs	To be determined.
Ongoing operational cost implications	Yes if the highway is revoked.
Is it currently budgeted for?	No
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	None at present, but will be affected if the highway is revoked.

SUMMARY:

At its 22 July 2010 meeting, the Committee was updated on progress towards the revocation of the some 30 kilometres of state highway in the District, comprising State Highway 17 through Dairy Flat (now known as Dairy Flat Highway) and what was State Highway 1 (Hibiscus Coast Highway) through Silverdale, Orewa, Waiwera etc. The Committee resolved inter alia, that the Director: Infrastructure continue to negotiate with the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) to obtain a satisfactory revocation agreement of the particular sections of state highway and in so doing, address the Council's related financial issues all together with a target revocation date of 31 October 2010.

In acknowledging the Council's objective the NZTA has advised that, whilst being keen to move forward with the state highway revocation process, its intention is to defer the process until after 1 November 2010, when it will continue discussions on the subject of revocation with the Auckland Council and Auckland Transport. These latter bodies will then be tasked with resolving the financial and other implications of picking up responsibilities for the sections of road added to the road network of the Auckland Council.

Whilst the response from the NZTA may not totally satisfy the Council's objective, it is however, to be noted that the NZTA is also to address potential revocation of further sections of state highway in other parts of the territory of the Auckland Council. The NZTA's intended approach to address all potential revocations in one exercise can thus be appreciated.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information concerning the New Zealand Transport Agency's intention to progress the matter of revocation of sections of state highway in the District after 1 November 2010 be received.

REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
 ON 2 September 2010
 FROM Ian Murray – Manager: Environmental Services Major Projects
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **UPDATE ON MURIWAI INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES**
 FILE REF TP/9/54/1; RC/206/2

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? Delivers on Vision Rodney.
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan / Annual Plan? Some items are included in the Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) 2009 - 2019.
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? Not applicable
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? Not applicable
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Ongoing
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

In 2008 the Council undertook an investigation into infrastructure related issues at Muriwai with a view to identifying the key issues requiring consideration, internal coordination and prioritisation over the short, medium and long term. The key issues identified were:

- (i) public health and environmental risk (including contamination of stormwater and risk of water supply contamination) associated with inadequate on-site wastewater systems
- (ii) management of stormwater discharges to Muriwai Regional Park and the Village Green
- (iii) erosion of the southern car park, stormwater outfall and beach access
- (iv) ensuring appropriate development and management of the Village Green
- (v) upgrading of Muriwai Road required (at intersection with State Highway 16) to improve safety
- (vi) continuation of urban standards leading to separation of pedestrians/cyclists and improved stormwater control
- (vii) relocation of the Muriwai Surf Club
- (viii) public communication regarding Muriwai infrastructure and other council-related issues.

This item was presented to the Council meeting on 18 December, 2008 and the following was resolved:-

- (a) *That staff investigate further the short, medium and long term actions identified in Tables 3, 4 and 5 of the agenda report, including costings.*
- (b) *That when plans with costings for each project identified in Tables, 3, 4 and 5 of the agenda report have been determined, separate reports be made to the Council.*

Note: Tables 3, 4 and 5 from the agenda report are attached as Appendix 1 to these minutes.

(Minute no: 1436/12/08)

This agenda item provides an update on progress since August 2009, when the last update to this committee was presented and the following was resolved:-

- (a) *That the information be received.*
- (b) *That further updates be provided on a six-monthly basis.*

(Minute no: 561/08/09)

While full costings have not been included in this report (or the attached appendices), it is considered appropriate that in light of progress on various issues, an update be provided to the Council and recommendations for future actions or direction provided for the new Auckland Council.

Detailed costings on some options, particularly in the medium and longer term, is also seen as perhaps unnecessary given timeframes, uncertainty and the evolving nature of many issues.

The attached tables (**Appendix 1** – Muriwai Infrastructure Issues – Short Term Issues, Solutions and Actions); (**Appendix 2** – Muriwai Wastewater Project – Interim Measures Update, August 2010) provide an up to date comment on each issue and related actions, as outlined in the December 2008 report.

RECOMMENDATION:

That future discussion on the impacts of failing on-site waste water systems at Muriwai and the future Waste Water Treatment Plant, be referred to the Auckland Council as a significant issue.

1.0 Background

The purpose of the original investigation and report (and subsequent actions) were to:

- (a) identify key issues, constraints and available/proposed solutions
- (b) provide a mechanism to ensure that an integrated and coordinated approach to resolving any identified issues is being undertaken.

The Manager: Environmental Services Major Projects (Ian Murray) was tasked with ensuring (b) above in particular was carried out, along with appropriate communication and consultation with the local community, the Auckland Regional Council (ARC), iwi and other stakeholders.

2.0 Issues

2.1 Water

No issues were identified with the water supply. Water quality monitoring is ongoing.

2.2 Wastewater

Several steps have been taken on various aspects of the wastewater situation at Muriwai and these are covered in **Appendix 1**. **Appendix 2** is a further expansion of the activities entitled *Interim Measures* referred to in the original Short Term Action #3.

The Council has received advice from the ARC of the outcome of workshops relating to the siting of a proposed Wastewater Treatment Plant (WTP) on ARC Reserve land. There are still concerns that the Rodney District Council (RDC) has not undertaken sufficient research on alternate sites and disposal methods. A draft response to these concerns has been prepared that further supports the Council's assertion that a site within the ARC park adjacent to Coast Road is the preferred and most appropriate site for a variety of reasons.

There is a well recorded history of significant storm water contamination due to failing on-site domestic wastewater treatment systems. Water quality monitoring results show *Enterococci* and *Escheria coli* levels at more than 20 times the recommended Ministry of Health guidelines and it has recently confirmed (based on DNA testing) that a significant proportion of the contamination is from human sources.

The Council has received a poor response from the community with offers to assist with investigations into individual on-site system problems.

2.3 Stormwater

- 2.3.1 The RDC will request a review of a resource consent condition (for the existing ex-landfills) that requires the piping of stormwater through the Village Green. This has the unanimous support of the Muriwai community which considers a swale would unreasonably impact upon the recreation use of the open space.

- 2.3.2 A draft concept for the re-routing of the existing stormwater outlet at Motutara Rd, to the head of the newly formed gully has been prepared. This follows the recent southern car park pull-back – work done by the ARC. This has received approval in principle from the Muriwai Beach Progressive Association, based on this being a step towards recreating as natural a storm water system as possible in the long term. This is also dependent on the outcomes of the resource consent processes around the sea walls supporting the bottom end of Motutara Road.
- 2.3.3 A storm water quality monitoring programme of six sites throughout Muriwai has been undertaken for the last 12 months. This will continue, however, there is substantial and ongoing evidence that there is significant infiltration of unsatisfactory levels of wastewater into the stormwater systems through the township.

Action on all these points is outlined in Action points 7 and 8 in **Appendices 1 and 2**.

2.4 Parks/coastal

The Muriwai Village Green Reserve Management Plan was adopted by RDC in May 2010. The ARC is to consider the Auckland Regional Parks Management Plan in September 2010.

The ARC southern car park pull-back is complete, apart from some residual planting and pedestrian access construction. The bottom end of Motutara Road has had some kerbing and traffic control measures installed. (Action points 12 and 13)

Further discussion with the community and the ARC regarding car parking and beach access will occur during the resource consent renewal process for the sea wall. This process has commenced with a review of all previous research, including historical photos. (Action point 11).

2.5 Other items

Minor works at the junction of Waitea and Motutara Roads have been completed. This encourages traffic to head towards the northern ARC car park areas and more favourable beach access points rather than continuing down Motutara Road and the restricted car parking and beach access points on offer there. An upgrade of associated stormwater infrastructure occurred at the same time.

Work on the Oaia Road footpath extension is due to commence by the end of August.

The Muriwai Surf Club has gained resource consent for the construction of its clubhouse on land immediately to the west of the Village Green.

The ARC has several small projects under way including:

- the upgrade of campground waterlines
- the resealing Maukatia (Maori Bay) car park
- the upgrade of the lookout track platform and Motutara / Domain staircase
- the upgrade of handrails on Gannet Track
- the development of Surf Club access to the new site via the overflow car park
- the supply of services to the new Surf Club site, including development of the wastewater system.

3.0 **Options**

Many aspects of Muriwai are ongoing, evolving processes and the key to ensuring progress is appropriate communication and consultation. Alternate Muriwai Progressive Association meetings are attended, followed by specific site meetings with appointed community representatives as necessary.

RDC is providing ongoing advice for on-site wastewater disposal issues. However, the community response to the Council's offer (of advice and support) has been disappointing. Survey results from March 2009 have been analysed which has provided us with a more accurate idea on specific problems and areas and how to approach them. RDC has worked closely with four or five property owners to improve their specific situations. The human health risks and appropriate mitigation (for example signage and notices directing septic tank owners to investigate and resolve leakages) will be discussed with RDC environmental health officers.

Other options to consider include investigating and reporting on options to re-use grey water, and to use current in-house resources to further investigate identified failing on-site systems.

It was hoped that the Draft Auckland Regional Parks Management Plan would provide definitive direction in terms of a wastewater treatment plant (WTP) proposal. RDC will continue to pursue discussion on this topic. While budget provision within the RDC's adopted LTCCP provides a mandate for staff to continue the work, a clearly preferred (and supported) site will allow far greater impetus for funding discussions.

4.0 Conclusion

Progress has been made on many fronts at Muriwai. The tables highlight only the key infrastructural issues – many other activities are going on with multiple parties involved. Communication is critical to ensure conflict is kept to a minimum.

APPENDIX 1

APPENDIX 2

REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
 ON 2 September 2010
 FROM Gael Ogilvie - Consultant
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT OREWA SEA WALL/WALKWAY PROJECT UPDATE
 FILE REF FC/101/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? Outcome 6: Clean and green. <i>We will continue to value, protect and enjoy the natural environment.</i>
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan/Annual Plan? The project is consistent with current plans. Additional budget provision will be required for the 2011/2012 year and beyond.
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? None
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? Not for the 2010/2011 year. Additional funds are required for the 2011/2012 year.
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Yes
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No – additional funds will be required for the 2011/2012 financial year and therefore following the establishment of the Auckland Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Additional capital required for the 2011/2012 financial year.
Is it currently budgeted for?	Yes. Currently through the approved Annual Plan for 2010/11.
Funding source of capital costs	Additional source not confirmed.
Ongoing operational cost implications	Yes
Is it currently budgeted for?	Partly
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Will depend on the agreed source of funding for capital item shortfall.

SUMMARY:

The design of the proposed upgrade of the Millennium Pathway and construction of a seawall, along the 600 metre stretch of Orewa Beach between Marine View and Kohu Street is now complete and the applications for resource consents are being processed by the Rodney District Council (RDC) and the Auckland Regional Council (ARC). The project has been well received by the community to date, is a key component of the overall Orewa Beach Esplanade Enhancement Project (OBEEP), and represents the culmination of years of planning/discussions on how to improve the visual, community and environmental amenity of the beach.

The walkway seawall component of the project has been designed to recreate the natural dune system which was present along the beach prior to reclamation and residential development. It consists of rocks buried with sand and natural dune vegetation planting, protected by a sandcrete toe berm. As such, it is an innovative *soft engineering* solution which has been selected in preference to a more traditional exposed rock wall, which would eliminate any possibility of recreating the natural beach dune.

Consent applications for the project are part-way through being processed. The notification period for the project ended on 18 August 2010 and seven submissions were received. Two were supportive and four were opposed. The seventh submission from the Orewa Beach Reef Charitable Trust (OBRCT) was supportive, pending some requested changes. RDC officers will be meeting with submitters who have expressed concerns to resolve these if possible. The hearing has been tentatively scheduled for 11-13 October 2010. Construction will not begin until March 2011.

The estimated cost for the project is \$2.6 million. This compares favourably to the conventional exposed rock wall (\$2.8 million). There is however a shortfall of approximately \$1.1 million in the current Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP), provisions for the *Orewa Access Coastal Protection works*. RDC proposes to build the seawall in two stages – the first stage (March-June 2011), to be constructed using the existing funds available, and the second stage (following July 2011), to be constructed once new funding has been allocated through the 2011/2012 budget allocation process.

RECOMMENDATION:

- (a) That the report be received.
 - (b) That an item be prepared for inclusion in the Issues List to be submitted to the Auckland Council – describing the project and advising that an additional \$1.1 million will need to be allocated in the 2011/2012 budget for completion of the seawall.
 - (c) That should the consent be declined, alternatives such as a conventional rock wall be pursued.
-

1.0 Background

RDC proposes to upgrade a 600 metre stretch of the Orewa Beach foreshore, between Marine View and Kohu Street. The upgrade includes reinstatement of the existing foreshore walkway and construction of a submerged sea wall and dune feature. The project is part of the long term beach-wide initiative for the area – referred to as the Orewa Beach Esplanade Enhancement Project (OBEEP) and adopted by the RDC in 2002.

From analysis of the available beach monitoring data, which covers a period of approximately 30 years, the level of Orewa Beach appears to be fluctuating within limits of a dynamic equilibrium. Along the Marine View to Kohu Terrace stretch, the beach was at its lowest through the late 1980s. There has been significant fluctuation depending on storm events and natural sand accretion. RDC has also renourished this area at times, as part of its regular sand renourishment programme for the beach.

Historical aerial photographs show that residential landscaping has encroached into the natural dune system bordering the landward edge of the beach. The historic dunes have been essentially 'reclaimed' through flattening and stabilising actions. In some cases additional materials and also armouring has also been installed to replace the erosion protection previously afforded by the natural dune system.

There is currently very little reserve land left along the 600 metres of foreshore between Kohu Street and Marine View. The public walkway along this stretch is difficult to use and the interface between the foreshore and beach is littered with an ugly array of broken concrete structures which represent past adhoc private and public failed erosion protection attempts.

The proposed Millennium Pathway project is therefore required to:

1. reinstate the damaged walkway along the foreshore reserve;
2. provide structural protection for the new walkway;
3. recreate, as far as possible, the natural dune feature to enhance beach recovery following storm events and improve the natural character of the foreshore interface.

The purpose of this report is to provide a project update.

2.0 Design philosophy

Section 6 of the Resource Management Act 1991 sets out matters of national importance to be recognised and provided for when exercising functions under that Act. Listed first and therefore considered most important is:

The preservation of the natural character of the coastal environment...

Any solution derived for the beach should therefore consider the natural form of Orewa Beach including the original fore dune area.

Further direction is provided in section 4.44 of the Auckland Regional Plan Coastal that activities should have regard to:

maintaining and ... enhancing visual links between the coastal marine area and adjacent land and ... enhancing appropriate vegetation patterns in particular, areas of indigenous vegetation both within the coastal marine area and on land.

In addition to preserving the natural character, the design sought to:

- provide a structure to support the walkway;
- attempt to regain as much hightide beach as possible;
- move the built structure as far landward as practicable to minimise the extent that structures intrude within the natural range of the fluctuating beach position;
- maintain the structure as low as practicable to improve visual and physical connection between foreshore and backshore and minimise visual impact;
- provide for the restoration of the vegetative dune building mechanism to improve the ability of the dune system to prograde seaward and to improve the natural character of the tidal interface.

3.0 Options considered

The Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE) prepared to support applications for consent for the project (URS 2010) provides a full evaluation of the alternatives which were considered. These alternatives were:

- do nothing;
- continue renourishment only;
- exposed rock wall;
- buried rock wall.

The buried rock wall was selected as the preferred option based on environmental, social and cost considerations. Photographs showing an example of existing temporary erosion protection works (**Appendix 1**), the existing situation during a significant storm event (**Appendix 2**), an image depicting an artist's impression of the proposed sea wall/footpath (**Appendix 3**) and a Section Plan (**Appendix 4**) are attached.

4.0 Proposed design

The proposed design, which is currently proceeding through the resource consenting process, is for a buried sea wall, placed as far landward as possible to maintain the sand and dune vegetation covering. A similar approach has been adopted at Arundel Reserve, at the northern end of Orewa, where rock revetments were constructed and covered in 2000 and have not been significantly exposed since. In contrast the sand and vegetation covering the lower parts of the wall at Moana Reserve has not been retained and the wall is exposed. These situations, at different parts of Orewa Beach, have been used to inform the proposed design.

The buried composite wall system proposed is comprised of:

- a forward low level stabilised sand toe or bund;
- a rock revetment covered with sand and planted with dune species;
- a backwall.

The attached figures provide a schematic diagram of the sea wall design and an artist's impression of the seawall design.

Run up from frequent small wave/storm events will be limited by the toe bund, allowing the establishment of planting and retention of sand behind. It is anticipated that this will allow for the more gradual build up of the esplanade bank eventually leading to the establishment of a more natural upper beach profile. The presence of the vegetation will tend to cause deposition of sand in this area promoting dune progradation.

Due to frequent exposure to run up events it is likely that the bund will often be visible. It is low and its construction from stabilised local beach sediment will minimise the visual impact and provide a natural looking structural element. It is anticipated the top of the bund will quickly look like a natural sandstone bank.

The buried wall provides structural protection in the event of larger storms and utilises existing rock on the beach. When exposed the rock wall is of the same form as walls that are present over much of Orewa Beach.

It is anticipated that with climate change and sea-level rise that storm effects on the reserve land will increase, and this may lead to beach lowering and shoreline retreat. It is uncertain as to exactly how this will affect Orewa Beach, but will likely lead to more common storm loading and exposure of the upper structure. However, it has been demonstrated in various cases around the world that systems that are in a natural or revegetated condition are better equipped to respond to changes, typically seasonal and medium term (decadal) variations in sea-level and climate. Therefore it is likely that, such systems are likely to respond and adapt to long term climate change better than an alternative purely structural solution.

5.0 Costing

5.1 Design, consenting and capital works

The estimated cost for the design, consenting and construction of the project including the upgraded walkway and the composite buried sea wall is approximately \$2.6 million. The construction component includes all earthworks, path installation, materials and access points, landscaping and planting.

The budgeted line item for the project in the LTCCP for the 2010/2011 financial year is \$2 million. This line item is provided for all Orewa beach renourishment and coastal protection projects and has therefore been partially expended through the Moana outfall and Moenui Reserve projects. Assuming there is a total of \$1.5 million available for the Millennium Pathway project there is a budget shortfall in the order of \$1.1 million as provided for by the current LTCCP.

The current proposal, pending resource consents being awarded, is to construct part of the seawall over the 2011 construction period (March - June 2011) up to the allowed-for budget. There will therefore be a requirement for additional capital works budget in the 2011/2012 financial year.

5.2 Maintenance

The buried wall will require additional maintenance depending on the frequency and extent of over-topping and therefore the requirement to replace lost sand and vegetation. These costs are relatively minor (between \$5,000 and \$25,000 per event).

Allowing for some contingency, \$40,000 will be required to be set aside in the annual operational budget which will provide some provision for storm event damage repair.

6.0 Consultation and consent requirements

Prior to submitting consent applications, the RDC approached approximately 30 directly affected property owners with information on the project and an invitation to comment. An Open Day was conducted on 1 May 2010 at Kinloch Reserve on Orewa Beach. The Open Day was attended by approximately 60 residents and their families. The bulk of the attendees were very supportive of the project.

The RDC has also consulted with local iwi groups and other interested parties, including Destination Orewa Beach, the Maygrove Residents' Association, the Orewa Ratepayers' and Residents' Association and the Orewa Beach Reef Charitable Trust.

The following consents are being sought from RDC and the ARC for the project:

- RDC – consents to complete earthworks, remove vegetation and trees and construct a pathway;
- ARC – consents to complete earthworks and construct a seawall.

The RDC application for these consents is being jointly evaluated by the RDC and the ARC. The public notification period for the project extended between 20 July and 17 August 2010. Seven submissions were received. Two submissions supported the project, four submissions opposed the project and the seventh submission (from Orewa Beach Reef Charitable Trust) was supportive of the project pending some conditions being met.

8.0 Next steps

Following a more detailed review of the submissions received, the RDC and ARC officers will prepare a Notification Report on the project. A joint hearing will be held to determine the application. The proposed hearing date is 11 – 13 October 2010. RDC officers will be meeting with these submitters prior to the hearing to try and resolve as many issues as possible.

The decision of both the RDC and ARC regarding project consents will be issued within ten days of the completion of the hearing and there will be a 20 day period for possible appeals.

Tender documentation will be prepared during the final stages of the consenting process. Tenders will not be awarded until after the deadline for appeals has passed.

As described above, the project will be constructed in two stages in accordance with existing and proposed budget allocations.

9.0 Conclusion

The Millennium pathway/sea wall project has been well received by the community to date, is a key component of the overall Orewa Beach Esplanade Enhancement Project and represents the culmination of years of planning/discussions on how to improve the visual, community and environmental amenity of the beach.

The seawall component of the project has been designed to recreate the natural dune system which was present along the beach prior to reclamation and residential development. As such, it is an innovative *soft engineering* solution which has been selected in preference to a more traditional exposed rock wall, which would eliminate any possibility of recreating the natural beach dune.

Consent applications for the project are part-way through being processed. The notification period is now closed and a joint RDC and ARC hearing will be held in mid-October. Construction will not begin until March 2011.

The estimated cost for design, consenting and constructing the project is \$2.6 million. This compares favourably to the conventional exposed rock wall (\$2.8 million). There is, however a shortfall of approximately \$1.1 million in the current LTCCP provisions. The RDC proposes to build the seawall in two stages – the first stage (March - June 2011) to be constructed using the existing funds available and the second stage (following July 2011) to be constructed once new funding has been allocated through the 2011/2012 budget allocation process.

10.0 Recommendations

The recommendations arising from this project update are:

1. That the report be received.
2. That an item be prepared for inclusion in the issues list to be submitted to Auckland Council – describing the project and advising that an additional \$1 million will need to be allocated in the 2011/2012 budget to complete the second stage of construction.
3. That if consent for the project is declined, alternatives such as a conventional rock wall be explored further.

APPENDIX 1

APPENDIX 2

APPENDIX 3

APPENDIX 4

REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
 ON 2 September 2010
 FROM Cathy Green – Infrastructure Applications Administrator
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **CHANGES TO CEMETERY TRUSTEES**
 FILE REF CB/32/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To publicly advise changes to Cemetery Trustees for the Leigh, Minniesdale and Whangateau Cemeteries.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan/Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any Further public consultation required? No, it but will be publicly notified.
(vii)	Does a decision on this matter require Auckland Transition Agency approval? (yes / no / advice being sought?) No

SUMMARY:

A number of resignations have occurred from the Committees of trustee-run cemeteries. New appointments need to be confirmed by the Council and publicly notified.

RECOMMENDATION:

That pursuant to Section 24(2) of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 the appointments/resignations of trustees for the Minniesdale, Leigh and Whangateau Cemeteries, as noted in the agenda report, be confirmed and publicly notified.

1.0 Background

Under Section 24 of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 responsibility for the appointment (or removal) of trustees responsible for the management of private settlers' cemeteries has been delegated to the Rodney District Council.

The (*) indicates a new trustee.

The new Trustee structure for Minniesdale Cemetery, Wellsford is as follows:

T Collier – Chairperson
P Collier – Treasurer
L Johnston – Secretary
S Andrew
P Clapham
L Casci
R Halfpenny
T Hayward
P Stables
D Witheford
B Lane *
Resigned: K Wallace

The new Trustee structure for Whangateau Cemetery is as follows:

D Mace - Chairman
P Ashton – Secretary/Treasurer
A Ashton
I Gronert
S New
E Macdonald
P Ashton
M Ashton
T Riley
Resigned: S New

The new Trustee structure for Leigh Cemetery is as follows:

M Wright – Chairman
J McCormick – Secretary/Treasurer
B Davies
R Reed
D Wyatt
Resigned: M Krey

2.0 Issues

Section 24(2) of the Burial and Cremation Act 1964 requires every appointment of trustees to be “publicly notified in the district wherein the cemetery is situated.”

The notice will be published in the Rodney Times.

3.0 Options

None – this is a statutory obligation.

4.0 Conclusion

That the appointments/resignations of trustees of the Minniesdale, Leigh and Whangateau Cemeteries be published in the Rodney Times.

REPORT



TO Infrastructure and Environment Committee
 ON 2 September 2010
 FROM Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 APPROVED BY Murray Noone – Director: Infrastructure
 SIGNATURE

SUBJECT **PROGRESS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF COMMITTEE DECISIONS**
 FILE REF CF/1/1

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information only	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategic decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Statutory process
<input type="checkbox"/> Community issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Contract decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Delegation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appointment
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative matter			

IMPLICATIONS:

(i)	Is this matter significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance? No
(ii)	Implications in terms of Vision Rodney? No
(iii)	Implications in terms of Long Term Council Community Plan/Annual Plan? No
(iv)	Implications in terms of other Council Strategic documents or Council Policy? No
(v)	Is a budget amendment required? No
(vi)	Have the views of affected or interested persons been obtained and is any further public consultation required? Not applicable

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Capital cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding source of capital costs	Not applicable
Ongoing operational cost implications	Not applicable
Is it currently budgeted for?	Not applicable
Funding & rating impact (whether resulting from capital expenditure or arising directly)	Not applicable

SUMMARY:

Attached as **Appendix 1** is the progress report on the implementation of decisions from the former Assets Management and Community and Environment Committees, which fall under the scope and powers of the Infrastructure and Environment Committee. Also included are full Council decisions relating to infrastructure and Environment issues.

The decisions reported on are those where some follow up action is required. Items marked as completed will be shown on two consecutive reports as such, and then drop off.

The progress report is for information only and if further resolutions are required on any of these topics, separate reports will be presented to the Infrastructure and Environment Committee.

A separate confidential item covers implementation of confidential decisions.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be received.

APPENDIX 1

PROGRESS REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF DECISIONS FROM INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE (AND FULL COUNCIL DECISIONS RELATING TO ASSET MANAGEMENT MATTERS)

Updates in bold italics

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
16/08/06	<p><u>Matakana Wastewater</u></p> <p>Implementation report on connection of Matakana Village to the Jones Road wastewater treatment plant to be provided.</p>	Anita Yahya Infrastructure	<p><i>Detailed design is now underway.</i></p> <p><i>The retrofit of the PWC system will commence in 2011/12 to allow growth.</i></p> <p><i>Investigation for new disposal area at the Golf Course area is now underway.</i></p>	
288/03/08	<p><u>Kumeu Huapai Riverhead Waimauku Wastewater</u></p> <p>Council received information that a full report would be made available in April.</p>	Jenny Warren Infrastructure	<p>This project is progressing steadily forward. Consultants have been engaged to write the resource consent application for Tapu Rd. A meeting has been held with ARC and Auckland Health to make them aware of Council's plans to develop a wastewater treatment plant at Tapu Road, to discuss the programme to develop the resource consent applications and give them the opportunity to advise Council of issues they consider would also need addressing. The alternative connection to Watercare Services trunk sewer is under investigation with a favourable report expected shortly. A meeting has been set up for 29 July to present the industrial and mixed residential property owners of Kumeu and Huapai with a report on progress towards providing the area with a wastewater service. The 8th Wastewater Information sheet is to be issued on 17 July as an insert in the Norwest News to keep the community advised of progress on the</p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
			<p>project.</p> <p>A detailed report on the scheme was presented to the Infrastructure & Environment Committee 8 May 2008.</p>	
507/05/08	<p><u>Kumeu Huapai Riverhead Waimauku Wastewater</u></p> <p>Council resolved:</p> <p>That interim options be considered to allow landowners to subdivide/develop with on-site treatment options;</p> <p>That it did not consider use of on-site systems to be sustainable for the majority of existing and future development areas;</p> <p>To confirm its intention to provide a public service to these communities;</p> <p>That the preferred system be through pressurised wastewater collection (PWC) and a stand alone treatment plant;</p> <p>To continue to investigate alternate solutions using PEC and disposal to the Watercare services regional wastewater system;</p> <p>That officers pursue appropriate design, land use planning, consenting, funding, procurement and consultation as necessary to progress the project;</p> <p>That a final decision be made in June 2009, with interim reports at 3-monthly intervals;</p>		<p>Officers have developed an Assessment of Environmental Effects reports for resource consent for a possible standalone plant at Tapu Road.</p> <p>Parallel negotiations took place with Watercare Services. A report recommending the preferred option was presented to the Council 6 November 2008.</p> <p>Planning Forums were successfully held in May 2009.</p> <p>The Watercare/RDC agreement for the wastewater connection is being prepared and is mostly complete. The agreement will state December 2011 as the date for the Watercare wastewater connection. Watercare met with Murray Noone and Rodger recently to discuss delaying the signing of this agreement until after the relevant legislation has been passed later this year.</p> <p>Watercare has agreed to provide water supply only by year 2013, the constraint being that the Watercare physical works may not be able to proceed prior to completion of a section of SH16. RDC would prefer to have the connection by December 2011 to align with the wastewater</p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
	<p>That \$7800,000 be made available through the Annual Plan 2008/2009;</p> <p>That a decision on the inclusion of Waimauku be deferred until after adoption of the Waimauku Structure Plan;</p> <p>That the Director: Infrastructure pursues central government funding for the project.</p>		<p>connection and we are working with the relevant authorities to make this happen.</p> <p>A revised LTCCP Capex timeline has been approved based on delivery of wastewater and water supply by December 2011.</p> <p>A workshop took place for Councillors and a report was presented to the Council meeting on 24 September 2009.</p> <p>All design and consent work is in progress for a project completion – Dec 2011.</p> <p>Currently in discussions with Watercare to ensure that it will commit to this project post Nov 2010.</p> <p>A letter has been sent to ATA to seek support for this project.</p> <p>Watercare has confirmed its commitment to this programme subject to understanding the financial model. Finances are being discussed with Watercare.</p> <p>Watercare are now developing their overall funding strategy. Contracts are now out to tender. Private landowner permission has been granted. Application for resource consent to cross under Brighams Creek has been lodged.</p> <p>Tenders are currently being evaluated. WaterCare are participating in this evaluation.</p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
			Tender evaluation process complete. All consents. All consents obtained. Awaiting approval fro ATA to commence construction.	
665/06/07	<u>Groundwater Supply in Warkworth</u> Drilling of a production bore and two observation wells in Sanderson Road, Warkworth, to proceed and resource consents to be applied for as soon as possible.	Bruce Fulford Infrastructure	<i>Detailed design of treatment plant and transfer pump station completed. Awaiting ATA approval to purchase land for the treatment plant. Consent is being publicly notified.</i>	
851/08/07	<u>Parks Maintenance Standards Agreements</u> Parks and Coastal Manager and Property Manager to negotiate service level agreements with WFH Properties and Kensington Properties. Proposed agreement to be presented to the Committee for confirmation.	Chris Burgess Infrastructure	Kensington Body Corporation is still maintaining the public areas that surround Kensington Park. An agreement is still to be reached between Kensington and RDC. RDC Legal is working on at the moment, however the playground is under construction and a café consent has been issued for this consent. This consent will rectify the developer's ownership and maintenance requirements on public land. This has been forwarded to John Hall for discussion in regards to developer contributions. <i>No change.</i>	
652/06/08	<u>Meerkat Warning Sirens – Northern Ward</u> The Committee supports the stage 1 installation of Meerkat sirens at Omaha North, Point Wells and Whangateau and agrees to waive landowner consent fees for up to five siren installation sites. The Committee supports the sourcing & installation of sirens from Meerkat Alert systems Ltd.	Paul Green Customer Services	Phase One complete. Sirens located at Whangateau, Point Wells and Omaha. These were successfully tested on 25 October 2009. Phase Two complete.	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
	<p>The Committee supports the installation of Tsunami signage of national technical standard & international best practice.</p>		<p>Further sirens have been installed at Omaha. These were successfully tested on 11 April 2010.</p> <p>Phase Three has been implemented and will be completed by 1 July 2010.</p> <p>This will see the completion of all sirens at Omaha, and Point Wells. Scheduled to be completed by 31 October 2010.</p> <p>Currently inspecting Phase three siren installation sites which are located at Point Wells and Omaha. Awaiting quotes from supplier and also consent from Council departments to allow us to make use of existing Council sites to mount sirens onto. Completion of Phase three will complete the tsunami siren fit-out at the Whangateau, Omaha and Point Wells areas.</p> <p><i>A purchase order has been raised to install the sirens at Point Wells and Omaha. Completion of install expected by mid October 2010.</i></p>	
110/03/09	<p><u>Revocation & Renaming of State Highway 17 from Silverdale to the top of Albany Hill</u></p> <p>Director Infrastructure to investigate the urgency of the request from NZTA for suggestions for the renaming of this portion of road by 18 March.</p>	Bill Horne Infrastructure	<p><i>State Highway 17, from Greville Road to the Silverdale motorway interchange is to have the local name "Dairy Flat Highway". Letters confirming the name will very soon be sent to residents and other interested parties. Street name signs will also be installed.</i></p> <p><i>The NZTA still intends to revoke the road as a state highway but related conditions and timing are to be determined at some future time between the NZTA and Auckland Transport.</i></p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
<p>3106/12/06 69/02/07</p> <p>647/06/07</p> <p>1079/09/07</p>	<p><u>Whangaparaoa Road, Town Centre Traffic Signals</u></p> <p>To be implemented:</p> <p>Provision for two right turning movements out of Wade River Road;</p> <p>Provision of additional stacking lane capacity for west bound traffic on Whangaparaoa Road east of Wade River Road;</p> <p>Provision of recessed east bound bus bay;</p> <p>Removal of the existing traffic signals at the Whangaparaoa Road / Main Street / Tower Hill intersection;</p> <p>Installation of central median strip to prohibit right turn movements;</p> <p>Retention of left in, left-out movements;</p> <p>Installation of traffic signals at the Whangaparaoa Road/ Stanmore Bay Road intersection.</p> <p>Removal of the existing traffic signals at the Whangaparaoa Road / Main Street south end intersection;</p> <p>Installation of central median strip to prohibit right turn movements;</p> <p>Retain left-in, left-out movements;</p> <p>Provision of a zebra crossing with central refuge at Whangaparaoa Road/Main Street south end intersection.</p> <p>Consultation process to commence on the upgrade based on Option 2: 60 degree angle parking; raised median islands.</p>	<p>Barry George Infrastructure</p>	<p>Work is now subject to Penlink approval.</p> <p>Minor improvement work, east of Wade River Road intersection, now complete.</p> <p>The installation of Traffic Signals on hold – post Penlink decision.</p> <p>'Whangaparaoa Town Centre top of Plaza improvements' budget of \$227k allowed for in the LTCCP 2010/11 financial year.</p> <p>No financial provision in current year.</p> <p>No approval in 2010/11 Plan.</p> <p>No change.</p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
	<u>Busway Park and Ride Project</u>	Ahmed Khaled Infrastructure	<p>Park-n-Ride Silverdale included in the LTCCP 2009/19 for implementation during 2009/12 period. \$12.5 million spread over three years has been allocated for the project. This allocation also includes cost of land which will be surplus to the project which will be used for other purposes. Cost of this surplus land will not be entitled to any NZTA subsidy.</p> <p>The project has been included in NZTA's National Land Transport Programme for 2009/12 as a Cat 2 project with project cost of \$5.25 million. The project has to compete with other similar projects nationally for funding based on project economics. Cost of land will be a major factor in determining whether or not the project achieves a fundable benefit cost ratio.</p> <p>Negotiations on purchase of land not progressing well due to above-market expectation of vendor. Compulsory acquisition under Public Works Act underway. A Section 18 notice has been sent to the vendor to enter the land to carry out survey work.</p> <p>Offer has been received from owner for 1 Hibiscus Coast Highway frontage lot only. Currently under review by RDC solicitor.</p> <p><i>Public Works Act good faith negotiation with landowner completed without a mutually agreeable outcome. Notice under Section 23 of Public Works Act leading to compulsory acquisition has now been issued.</i></p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
	<u>Right of Way Easement at the Waimauku Land fill site</u>	Stuart Howard Infrastructure	<p>Council approved ROW easement in favour of Waimauku Properties Ltd at Infrastructure & Environment Committee meeting on 18 March. Easement documents now signed by both parties and ready for registering on title.</p> <p><i>Waiting to hear back from the other party's lawyer with regard to completing this matter.</i></p>	
928/12/09	<u>Orewa College Walkway</u>	Murray Noone Infrastructure	<p>In consultation with Orewa College, the finalised alignment of the cycleway, fence, and location of fitness trail have all been approved at a School Board of Trustees meeting on Wednesday 26 May.</p> <p>We have put the College Walkway and Bridge sections out to public tender to be awarded by the end of June, and proposed commencement of the works in July 2010.</p> <p><i>Contract awarded for College section (includes bridge) 25 June 2010.</i></p> <p>The sign off from the MoE and formal easement documentation will be completed before construction commences.</p> <p><i>MoE preparing to obtain Minister of Education sign off by end of August which will also give 'Land Owner' consent for completing the processing and granting of the two resource consents. Interim access agreement should follow soon after to allow construction to start while land purchase process is finalised.</i></p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
			<p>1 July 2010 – the Property Department is negotiating with the Ministry of Education, LINZ and Board of Trustees regarding arranging an access agreement for construction works and ultimately the land purchase</p> <p>Aaron Hill Property – Draft Easement agreement has been prepared and has been forwarded to WFH Properties for signing.</p> <p>Some changes requested by WFH Properties. Negotiations ongoing.</p>	
	<p><u>Cabeleigh Drive closure of walkway</u></p>	<p>Mark Johannsen</p>	<p>Response emailed by Mark Johannsen to Western Councillors 24/11/09:</p> <p>Property had agreed on transferring the property to the adjoining owner and the documentation was with their solicitor for signing. We have followed up today and have now been advised that the property is to be put on the market and they do not wish to pursue this land transaction. We are continuing with the reserve revocation and will enter dialogue with the new owner when a sale occurs. We will also contact the RE agent concerned and let them know the availability of this land to any potential buyer.</p> <p>26/02/10 - Still awaiting completion of revocation and following up to determine if a sale has occurred in order to commence new negotiations.</p> <p>29/4/2010 Revocation completed – gazette</p>	

Res.No. Committee	Summary of Decision	Officer/Director Responsible	Status/Next Action(s)	Completed
			issued. Follow up message left with owner to see if she has changed her mind, and wants to purchase. 01/07/2010 Original deal with adjoining owner re-established. Awaiting return of signed documents. No change.	

SUBJECT PUBLIC EXCLUDED

Recommendation:

That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

Agenda Item No(s) 10

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Grounds under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
Progress report on implementation of confidential committee decisions	As per the reasons given for excluding the public at the meeting(s) when these decisions were made	As per the grounds given for excluding the public at the meeting(s) when these decisions were made.

CONFIDENTIAL